

Orthonormalized eigenstates of the operator  $\{(a_q f(N_q))\}^k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) and their generation

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 5599

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/33/31/312>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.123

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 08:30

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## Orthonormalized eigenstates of the operator $(a_q f(N_q))^k$ ( $k \geq 1$ ) and their generation

Xiao-Ming Liu

Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China  
and

CCAST (World Laboratory), PO Box 8730, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China

E-mail: Liuxm@263.net

Received 12 April 2000

**Abstract.** The  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of the  $k$ th power  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) of the generalized  $q$ -boson annihilation operator  $a_q f(N_q)$  are obtained, and their properties are discussed. An alternative method to construct them is proposed, and it is shown that all of them can be expressed as a linear superposition of  $k$   $q$ - $f$ -coherent states that have the same amplitude but different phases. Physically, they can be generated by a linear superposition of the time-dependent  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states at different instants.

### 1. Introduction

The coherent states introduced by Schrödinger [1] and Glauber [2] are eigenstates of the boson annihilation operator  $a$ , and have widespread applications in the fields of physics [3–7]. The even and odd coherent states [8], which are two orthonormalized eigenstates of the square  $a^2$  of the operator  $a$ , play an important role in quantum optics [9–11]. The  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of the  $k$ th power  $a^k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) were constructed and applied to quantum optics [12, 13]. The notion of coherent states was extended to  $q$ -coherent states [14], which are eigenstates of the  $q$ -boson annihilation operator  $a_q$ . The  $q$ -coherent states were well studied and applied widely to quantum optics and mathematical physics [14–22]. The even and odd  $q$ -coherent states [23], defined as two orthonormalized eigenstates of the square  $a_q^2$  of the operator  $a_q$ , have non-classical effects [24]. Moreover, the  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of the  $k$ th power  $a_q^k$  were well investigated and applied to quantum optics [25, 26].

Recently, there has been much interest in the study of nonlinear coherent states called  $f$ -coherent states [27], which are eigenstates of the annihilation operator  $af(N)$  of  $f$ -oscillators, where  $f(N)$  is an operator-valued function of the boson number operator  $N$ . A class of  $f$ -coherent states can be realized physically as the stationary states of the centre-of-mass motion of a trapped ion [28]. The  $f$ -coherent states exhibit non-classical features such as squeezing and self-splitting. Subsequently, the even and odd  $f$ -coherent states, which are two orthonormalized eigenstates of the square  $(af(N))^2$  of the operator  $af(N)$ , were constructed and their non-classical effects were studied [29, 30]. In a previous paper [31], we obtained  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of the  $k$ th power  $(af(N))^k$  and discussed their properties. Naturally, in this paper, it is very desirable to study the orthonormalized eigenstates of the  $k$ th power  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  of the operator  $a_q f(N_q)$ , where  $f(N_q)$  is an operator-valued function of

the  $q$ -boson number operator  $N_q$ . We refer to  $a_q f(N_q)$  as the generalized  $q$ -boson annihilation operator.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of the operator  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  are obtained, and their properties are discussed. In section 3, an alternative method to construct them is proposed. Their physical meaning is explored in section 4.

## 2. The $k$ orthonormalized eigenstates of $(a_q f(N_q))^k$

The  $q$ -boson annihilation operator  $a_q$ , creation operator  $a_q^+$  and number operator  $N_q$  satisfy the quantum Heisenberg–Weyl algebra

$$a_q a_q^+ - q a_q^+ a_q = q^{-N_q} \quad (1)$$

$$[N_q, a_q] = -a_q \quad [N_q, a_q^+] = a_q^+ \quad (2)$$

with  $q$  real and positive. The operators  $a_q$ ,  $a_q^+$  and  $N_q$  act in a Hilbert space with the  $q$ -occupation number basis  $|n\rangle_q$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), such that

$$a_q |0\rangle_q = 0 \quad |n\rangle_q = \frac{(a_q^+)^n}{\sqrt{[n]!}} |0\rangle_q \quad (3)$$

where

$$[n]! = [n][n-1] \dots [1] \quad [0]! = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (5)$$

Their actions on the basis states are given by

$$a_q |n\rangle_q = \sqrt{[n]} |n-1\rangle_q \quad a_q^+ |n\rangle_q = \sqrt{[n+1]} |n+1\rangle_q \quad N_q |n\rangle_q = n |n\rangle_q. \quad (6)$$

Let us consider the following states:

$$|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k = C_j \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{kn+j}}{\sqrt{[kn+j]!} f(kn+j)!} |kn+j\rangle_q \quad (7)$$

with

$$f(kn+j)! = f(kn+j)f(kn+j-1) \dots f(1) \quad f(0)! = 1 \quad (8)$$

where  $k$  is a positive integer ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ );  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ;  $C_j$  are normalized factors and  $\alpha$  is a complex parameter. Let  $A = a_q f(N_q)$ . With the  $k$ th power  $A^k$  operating on  $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$ , we have

$$A^k |\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k = \alpha^k |\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k. \quad (9)$$

As a result, the  $k$  states of (7) are all the eigenstates of the operator  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  with the same eigenvalue  $\alpha^k$ . It is easy to check that, for the same value of  $k$ , these states are orthogonal to each other with respect to the subscript  $j$ , i.e.

$${}_k \langle \psi_i(\alpha, f) | \psi_j(\alpha', f) \rangle_k = 0 \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, i \neq j. \quad (10)$$

Let  $|\alpha|^2 = x$ . We easily suppose  $C_j$  to be a real number. Using the normalized conditions

$${}_k \langle \psi_j(\alpha, f) | \psi_j(\alpha, f) \rangle_k = C_j^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{kn+j}}{[kn+j]! f(kn+j)!^2} = C_j^2 A_j(x, f) = 1 \quad (11)$$

we have

$$C_j = A_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x, f) \quad (12)$$

where

$$A_j(x, f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{kn+j}}{[kn+j]! |f(kn+j)!|^2}. \quad (13)$$

From (13) it follows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j(x, f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{[n]! |f(n)!|^2} \equiv e_{q,f}(x). \quad (14)$$

It should be noted that the  $k$  states  $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) are normalizable provided  $C_j$  are non-zero and finite. This means that the terms in summation for  $A_j(x, f)$  should be such that

$$|\alpha|^2 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n] |f(n)|^2. \quad (15)$$

If  $|f(n)|$  decreases faster than  $[n]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for large  $n$ , then the range of  $\alpha$  for which the states  $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  are normalizable is restricted to values satisfying (15) and in other cases the range of  $\alpha$  is unrestricted.

We may obtain

$$A |\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k = \alpha A_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) A_{j-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) |\psi_{j-1}(\alpha, f)\rangle_k \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1 \quad (16)$$

$$A^i |\psi_0(\alpha, f)\rangle_k = \alpha^i A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) A_{k-i}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) |\psi_{k-i}(\alpha, f)\rangle_k \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (17)$$

This indicates that, by the successive actions of the operator  $A$ , the  $k$  eigenstate vectors of  $A^k$  can be transformed into each other in this way:  $|\psi_0\rangle_k \rightarrow |\psi_{k-1}\rangle_k \rightarrow |\psi_{k-2}\rangle_k \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow |\psi_1\rangle_k \rightarrow |\psi_0\rangle_k$ . Actually, the operator  $A$  plays the role of a rotating operator in the  $k$  eigenstate vectors of  $A^k$ .

According to (7), for  $k = 1$ , we obtain

$$|\psi_0(\alpha, f)\rangle_1 = e_{q,f}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{[n]!} f(n)!} |n\rangle_q \equiv |\alpha, f\rangle. \quad (18)$$

The states  $|\alpha, f\rangle$  are eigenstates of  $a_q f(N_q)$ . This is a generalization of the notion of  $f$ -coherent states, which are eigenstates of  $af(N)$ . Therefore, we call  $|\alpha, f\rangle$  the  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states.

According to (7), for  $k = 2$ , we obtain

$$|\psi_0(\alpha, f)\rangle_2 = A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{\sqrt{[2n]!} f(2n)!} |2n\rangle_q \quad (19)$$

$$|\psi_1(\alpha, f)\rangle_2 = A_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n+1}}{\sqrt{[2n+1]!} f(2n+1)!} |2n+1\rangle_q. \quad (20)$$

The states  $|\psi_0(\alpha, f)\rangle_2$  and  $|\psi_1(\alpha, f)\rangle_2$  are two orthonormalized eigenstates of  $(a_q f(N_q))^2$ . This is a generalization of the notion of even and odd  $f$ -coherent states, which are two orthonormalized eigenstates of  $(af(N))^2$ . Therefore, we call  $|\psi_0(\alpha, f)\rangle_2$  and  $|\psi_1(\alpha, f)\rangle_2$  the even and odd  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states, respectively.

In terms of the  $k$  eigenstates  $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  of  $A^k$ , the  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states can be expanded in this way:

$$|\alpha, f\rangle = e_{q,f}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_j^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) |\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k \right]. \quad (21)$$

Note that  $|\alpha, f\rangle$  and  $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  are non-trivially different.

We should emphasize that here we discuss orthogonality of  $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  with respect to subscript  $j$ . For  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ , we obtain

$${}_k\langle\psi_j(\alpha, f)|\psi_j(\alpha', f)\rangle_k = [A_j(|\alpha|^2, f)A_j(|\alpha'|^2, f)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}A_j(\alpha^*\alpha', f) \neq 0. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, when  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ ,  $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  and  $|\psi_j(\alpha', f)\rangle_k$  are not orthogonal.

As three limiting cases, for  $q \rightarrow 1$   $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  become  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of  $(a_f(N))^k$ ; for  $f(N_q) \rightarrow 1$   $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  become those of  $a_q^k$ ; for  $q \rightarrow 1$  and  $f(N_q) \rightarrow 1$   $|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k$  become those of  $a^k$ .

Now, we give some applications of the result (7). Taking  $f(N_q)$  to be  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{[N_q]}}$ , we find  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of the  $k$ th power  $(\exp(i\varphi))^k$  of the  $q$ -photon phase operator  $\exp(i\varphi) (\equiv a_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{[N_q]}})$  [16], namely,

$$|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k = \frac{\sqrt{1 - |\alpha|^{2k}}}{|\alpha|^j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{kn+j} |kn+j\rangle_q \quad |\alpha| < 1. \quad (23)$$

Taking  $f(N_q)$  to be  $\sqrt{[N_q]}$ , we find  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of the  $k$ th power  $K_-^k$  of the annihilation operator  $K_- (\equiv a_q \sqrt{[N_q]})$  of the quantum  $SU(1, 1)_q$  algebra in the Holstein–Primakoff realization [15], namely,

$$|\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k = A_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{kn+j}}{[kn+j]!} |kn+j\rangle_q \quad |\alpha| < \infty \quad (24)$$

with

$$A_j = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|\alpha|^2)^{kn+j}}{([kn+j]!)^2}. \quad (25)$$

It is noteworthy that Klauder and co-workers have studied an extremely wide class of coherent states that includes the  $f$ -coherent states as a small subset [32, 33]. However, the  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  are different from the Klauder-type coherent states. In the limiting case  $q \rightarrow 1$ , the  $k$  states can also be obtained by considering a suitable linear superposition of the Klauder-type states.

### 3. An alternative method for construction of the $k$ orthonormalized eigenstates of $(a_q f(N_q))^k$

According to (18), we consider the following  $k$   $q$ - $f$ -coherent states:

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_l, f\rangle &= |\alpha e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}l}, f\rangle \\ &= e_{q,f}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|\alpha|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{[n]!} f(n)!} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}ln} |n\rangle_q \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The  $k$   $q$ - $f$ -coherent states are discretely distributed with an equal interval of angle along a circle around the origin of the  $\alpha$ -plane. The inner product of the two states of (26) is

$$\langle\alpha_l, f|\alpha_{l'}, f\rangle = e_{q,f}^{-1} (|\alpha|^2) e_{q,f} (|\alpha|^2 e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}(l'-l)}) \quad l, l' = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (27)$$

Consider a linear transformation  $S$  such that

$$|\varphi\rangle_k = S|\alpha, f\rangle_k \quad (28)$$

where

$$|\alpha, f\rangle_k = \begin{bmatrix} |\alpha_0, f\rangle \\ |\alpha_1, f\rangle \\ \vdots \\ |\alpha_{k-1}, f\rangle \end{bmatrix} \quad |\varphi\rangle_k = \begin{bmatrix} |\varphi_0\rangle_k \\ |\varphi_1\rangle_k \\ \vdots \\ |\varphi_{k-1}\rangle_k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

$S$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix that makes  $\varphi_j$  orthonormal, and  ${}_k\langle\varphi_j|\varphi_{j'}\rangle_k = \delta_{jj'}$ . The above requirement leads to a set of algebraic equations for  $S_{ij}$ ,

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l'=0}^{k-1} e_{q,f}^{-1}(|\alpha|^2) e_{q,f}(|\alpha|^2 e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}(l'-l)}) S_{jl}^* S_{j'l'} = \delta_{jj'}. \quad (30)$$

The solution of equation (30),  $S_{ij}$ , can be found as follows. By virtue of the relation

$$\sum_{l'=0}^{k-1} e_{q,f}(|\alpha|^2 e^{\pm i\frac{2\pi}{k}(l'-l)}) e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl'} = e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl} \sum_{l'=0}^{k-1} e_{q,f}(|\alpha|^2 e^{\pm i\frac{2\pi}{k}l'}) e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl'} \quad (31)$$

the matrix elements of  $S$  that satisfy (30) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{jl} &= \frac{1}{k} e_{q,f}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2) \left[ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l'=0}^{k-1} e_{q,f}(|\alpha|^2 e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}l'}) e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl'} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} e_{q,f}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2) A_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl} \quad j, l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From (28) and (32), we obtain  $k$  orthonormalized states

$$|\varphi_j\rangle_k = \frac{1}{k} A_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) e_{q,f}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2) \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl} |\alpha e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}l}, f\rangle \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \quad (33)$$

which are just what we want. By use of the relation

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}lt} = 0 \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, k-1 \quad (34)$$

it can be proved that

$$|\varphi_j\rangle_k = |\psi_j(\alpha, f)\rangle_k \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (35)$$

According to (33), for  $k = 2$ , we obtain

$$|\varphi_0\rangle_2 = \frac{1}{2} A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) e_{q,f}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2) (|\alpha, f\rangle + |-\alpha, f\rangle) \quad (36)$$

$$|\varphi_1\rangle_2 = \frac{1}{2} A_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2, f) e_{q,f}^{\frac{1}{2}}(|\alpha|^2) (|\alpha, f\rangle - |-\alpha, f\rangle). \quad (37)$$

This indicates that the even and odd  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states can be represented as a linear superposition of two  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states, which have the same amplitude but opposite phases.

The states  $|\varphi_j\rangle_k$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) in (33) are exactly the  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  obtained in section 2, but reconstructed here by a different method. From the above reconstruction, we come to an important conclusion that any orthonormalized eigenstates of  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  can be expressed as a linear superposition of  $k$   $q$ - $f$ -coherent states  $|\alpha e^{i\frac{2\pi}{k}l}, f\rangle$  ( $l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ), which have the same amplitude but different phases. Yet, from (33), one can find the connection between  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states and these  $k$  eigenstates.

It is interesting to note that the above discussion includes two limiting cases of  $f(N_q) \rightarrow 1$  and  $q \rightarrow 1$  studied in [25] and [31], respectively.

#### 4. Physical meaning of the $k$ orthonormalized eigenstates of $(a_q f(N_q))^k$

In this section, we shall explore the physical meaning of the  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  by constructing them from the time-dependent  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states generated from a time-dependent Schrödinger equation.

Suppose a system evolves according to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\chi(t)\rangle = H |\chi(t)\rangle. \quad (38)$$

If the system is initially ( $t = 0$ ) in a  $q$ - $f$ -coherent state  $|\alpha, f\rangle$ , and the Hamiltonian is independent of time, then at time  $t$  the system reaches the state

$$|\chi(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H t} |\alpha, f\rangle. \quad (39)$$

Choosing  $H = \hbar\omega N_q$ , we have

$$|\chi(t)\rangle = |\alpha e^{-i\omega t}, f\rangle. \quad (40)$$

Therefore, at the instant

$$t_l = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \frac{l}{k} \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \quad (41)$$

the system is in the state

$$|\chi(t_l)\rangle = |\alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k} l}, f\rangle. \quad (42)$$

Now let us consider a linear superposition of the above time-dependent  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states at different instants,

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} C_l^i |\alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k} l}, f\rangle. \quad (43)$$

Suitably choosing the expansion coefficients, we can construct the  $k$  orthonormalized states. The inner product of the two states of (43) is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{l'=0}^{k-1} (C_l^i)^* C_{l'}^j \langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k} l}, f | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k} l'}, f \rangle \\ &= \langle C_i | \tilde{M} | C_j \rangle \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where

$$|C_j\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} C_0^j \\ C_1^j \\ \vdots \\ C_{k-1}^j \end{bmatrix} \quad (45)$$

$$\langle C_i | = [C_0^i C_1^i \dots C_{k-1}^i]^* \quad (46)$$

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle & \langle \alpha | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}} \rangle & \dots & \langle \alpha | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}(k-1)} \rangle \\ \langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}} | \alpha \rangle & \langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}} | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}} \rangle & \dots & \langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}} | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}(k-1)} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}(k-1)} | \alpha \rangle & \langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}(k-1)} | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}} \rangle & \dots & \langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}(k-1)} | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}(k-1)} \rangle \end{bmatrix}. \quad (47)$$

Note that the symbol  $f$  is suppressed in the expression of the matrix elements of  $\tilde{M}$ , i.e.

$$\langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k} l} | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k} l'} \rangle \equiv \langle \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k} l}, f | \alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k} l'}, f \rangle \quad l, l' = 0, 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Thus, the matrix elements of  $\tilde{M}$  read

$$\tilde{M}_{l,l'} = e_{q,f}^{-1}(|\alpha|^2) e_{q,f}(|\alpha|^2 e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}(l'-l)}). \quad (48)$$

Because  $\tilde{M}$  is Hermitian, its eigenstates with different eigenvalues must be orthogonal to one another. Suppose that  $|C_i\rangle$  and  $|C_j\rangle$  are its two eigenstates. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}|C_i\rangle &= \lambda_i|C_i\rangle \\ \tilde{M}|C_j\rangle &= \lambda_j|C_j\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where

$$|C_j\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}j} \\ e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}j^2} \\ \vdots \\ e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}j(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (50)$$

and

$$\lambda_j = e_{q,f}^{-1}(|\alpha|^2) \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e_{q,f}(|\alpha|^2 e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}l}) e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl}. \quad (51)$$

The orthonormality relation reads

$$\langle C_i|C_j\rangle = k\delta_{ij}. \quad (52)$$

Replacing the expansion coefficients in (43) by the column vector (50) and considering the normalization condition of the states (43), we obtain

$$|\phi_j\rangle = (k\lambda_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl} |\alpha e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}l}, f\rangle \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (53)$$

By virtue of (49) and (52), it is easy to prove that the inner product of two states of (53) is

$$\langle \phi_i|\phi_j\rangle = \frac{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{k} \langle C_i|\tilde{M}|C_j\rangle = \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \quad (54)$$

which indicates that the states (53) form an orthonormalized set.

The physical meaning of the state  $|\phi_j\rangle$  in (53) has been made clearer. The state  $|\phi_j\rangle$  can be generated by a linear superposition of the  $k$  time-dependent  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states  $|\alpha e^{-i\omega t_l}, f\rangle$  ( $l = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) at different instants, while the superposition coefficients are  $C_l^j (= e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{k}jl})$ .

It can be proved that

$$|\phi_0\rangle = |\psi_0(\alpha, f)\rangle_k \quad (55)$$

$$|\phi_{k-l}\rangle = |\psi_l(\alpha, f)\rangle_k \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, k-1. \quad (56)$$

Therefore,  $|\phi_j\rangle_k$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) are exactly the  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  in (7).

The above discussion includes the limiting case of  $f(N_q) \rightarrow 1$  investigated in [25]. In fact, the method for construction of the  $k$  eigenstates of  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  in this section is somewhat different from that in section 3.



## 5. Conclusions

We have derived the  $k$  orthonormalized eigenstates of the  $k$ th power  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) of the generalized  $q$ -boson annihilation operator  $a_q f(N_q)$ , discussed their properties and given some applications of the result. We have proposed an alternative method to construct these eigenstates of  $(a_q f(N_q))^k$ , and come to an important conclusion that all of them can be expressed as a linear superposition of  $k$   $q$ - $f$ -coherent states that have the same amplitude but different phases. We have also explored their physical meaning and shown that they can be generated by a linear superposition of the time-dependent  $q$ - $f$ -coherent states at different instants.

## References

- [1] Schrödinger E 1926 *Naturwissenschaften* **14** 664
- [2] Glauber R J 1963 *Phys. Rev. A* **131** 2766
- [3] Klauder J R and Sudarshan E C G 1968 *Fundamentals of Quantum Optics* (New York: Benjamin)
- [4] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B S 1985 *Coherent States—Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [5] Perelomov A 1986 *Generalized Coherent States and their Applications* (Berlin: Springer)
- [6] Zhang W M, Feng D H and Gilmore R 1990 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62** 867
- [7] Ali S T, Antoine J-P and Gazeau J-P 2000 *Coherent States, Wavelets and their Generalizations* (New York: Springer)
- [8] Dodonov V V, Malkin I A and Man'ko V I 1974 *Physica* **72** 597
- [9] Hillery M 1987 *Phys. Rev. A* **36** 3796
- [10] Xia Y J and Guo G C 1989 *Phys. Lett. A* **136** 281
- [11] Bužek V, Vidiella-Barranco A and Knight P L 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **45** 6750
- [12] Sun J, Wang J and Wang C 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **44** 3369  
Sun J, Wang J and Wang C 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **46** 1700
- [13] Bužek V, Jex I and Quang T 1990 *J. Mod. Opt.* **37** 159
- [14] Biedenharn L C 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** L873
- [15] Chaichian M, Ellinas D and Kulish P 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** 980
- [16] Gray R W and Nelson C A 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** L945  
Nelson C A 1992 *Preprint* SUNY BING 9/7/92  
Chiu S H, Gray R W and Nelson C A 1992 *Phys. Lett. A* **164** 237  
Nelson C A and Fields M H 1993 *Preprint* SUNY BING 12/15/93
- [17] Bracken A J, McAnally D S, Zhang R B and Gould M D 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 1379
- [18] Jurčo B 1991 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **21** 51
- [19] Solomon A I and Katriel J 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** L1209  
Katriel J and Solomon A I 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 2093
- [20] Celeghini E, Rasetti M and Vitiello G 1991 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** 2056
- [21] Bužek V 1991 *J. Mod. Opt.* **38** 801
- [22] Floratos E G 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 4739
- [23] Wang F B and Kuang L M 1992 *Phys. Lett. A* **169** 225
- [24] Kuang L M and Wang F B 1993 *Phys. Lett. A* **173** 221
- [25] Liu X M, Wang S J and Zhang W Z 1995 *Phys. Rev. A* **51** 4929  
Liu X M 1994 *PhD Thesis* Lanzhou University, China
- [26] Kuang L M, Wang F B and Zeng G J 1993 *Phys. Lett. A* **176** 1
- [27] Manko V I, Marmo G, Zaccaria F and Sudarshan E C G 1997 *Phys. Scr.* **55** 528
- [28] de Matos Filho R L and Vogel W 1996 *Phys. Rev. A* **54** 4560
- [29] Mancini S 1997 *Phys. Lett. A* **233** 291
- [30] Sivakumar S 1998 *Phys. Lett. A* **250** 257
- [31] Liu X M 1999 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** 8685
- [32] Klauder J R 1993 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **8** 1735  
Klauder J R 1995 *Ann. Phys., NY* **237** 147
- [33] Gazeau J-P and Klauder J R 1999 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** 123